Thurley et al.

1	Oral contraceptives reduce the avoidance bias to social threat signals
2	
3	Jasmin Thurley ¹ , Macià Buades Rotger ² , Georg Serfling ³ , Thessa Howaldt ¹ , Nicole Reisch ⁴ ,
4	Ulrike M. Krämer ^{1,5,6*}
5	
6	
7	¹ Dept. of Neurology, University of Lübeck, Germany
8	² Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychobiology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona,
9	Spain
10	³ Medizinische Klinik I und Institut für Endokrinologie und Diabetes, Universitätsklinikum
11	Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Lübeck, Universität zu Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany
12	⁴ Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik IV Klinikum der Universität München, Munich, Germany
13	⁵ Center of Brain, Behavior and Metabolism (CBBM), University of Lübeck, Lübeck,
14	Germany
15	⁶ Department of Psychology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany
16	
17	
18	* Corresponding author:
19	Ulrike M. Krämer, Ph.D.
20	Department of Neurology, University of Lübeck
21	Ratzeburger Allee 160
22	23538 Lübeck, Germany
23	+49 451 31017421
24	ulrike.kraemer@uni-luebeck.de
25	
26	
27	
28	Declarations of interest: none

Thurley et al.

29

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

Abstract

Recent research has increasingly acknowledged the impact of oral contraceptives on affective 30 behavior and stress responses; however, the underlying mechanisms are still not well 31 understood. Studies have previously shown that steroid hormones modulate automatic 32 33 approach and avoidance behavior. Here, we thus investigated the effects of oral 34 contraceptives on approach and avoidance behavior and whether these effects are modulated by stress. The study comprised 130 female participants, half of whom were using oral 35 36 contraceptives, while the other half were not using any hormonal contraception (NC). The 37 participants completed the Approach Avoidance Task (AAT), which measures automatic 38 approach and avoidance behavior to socio-affective signals. The AAT was run once before 39 and once after a stress manipulation using the Socially Evaluated Cold Pressor Test. OC 40 users showed absent avoidance behavior to social threat signals and a stress-induced 41 increase in approach behavior to positive social signals. The latter was found in particular in 42 women taking androgenic acting OC, demonstrating that different OC preparations need to be 43 taken into account in research on OC effects. However, OC and NC group did not differ in their cortisol stress response. Overall, the results suggest that OC usage impacts on approach 44 45 and avoidance behavior to social signals, which might also contribute to the development of affective side effects. 46

47

48 Keywords: oral contraceptives, approach avoidance behavior, stress

49

50

- 51
- 53

52

54

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

56 **1. Introduction**

Oral contraceptives (OC) are amongst the most reliable methods to prevent undesired 57 pregnancies and are the contraceptive of choice for many women worldwide (Christin-Maitre, 58 2013). Hormonal contraception, however, is associated with side effects, including changes 59 60 in affective experience and a possibly increased risk for depression (Montoya & Bos, 2017). The impact of oral contraceptives on specific affective and cognitive mechanisms, which could 61 contribute to these side effects, remains unclear. Altered approach and avoidance behavior 62 63 may be a possible mechanism for several reasons: The steroid hormones, which are 64 suppressed by OC, bind to receptors in brain regions implicated in approach and avoidance behavior and its regulation (Volman et al., 2013). OC users have been found to show 65 66 functional and structural changes in these brain regions, namely the amygdala and prefrontal cortex (Petersen & Cahill, 2015: Sharma et al., 2020). Moreover, other steroid hormones. 67 68 namely testosterone and cortisol, appear to have an impact on approach and avoidance 69 behaviors (Radke et al., 2015; Roelofs et al., 2005). Lastly, considering that OC influence the 70 stress axis and cortisol reactivity (Mordecai et al., 2017), which in turn affects approach and 71 avoidance behavior (Roelofs et al., 2005), it is possible that OC influence affective behavior 72 in conjunction with stress. Here, we thus tested whether women who are taking OC show altered approach and avoidance behavior, and whether this effect is modulated by stress 73 74 using a cross-sectional design.

The evidence on the influence of oral contraceptives on affect is inconclusive. While 75 some studies reported that only a small proportion of OC users experienced affective side-76 effects (Ernst et al., 2002), others, including a placebo-controlled longitudinal study, reported 77 more depressive symptoms, mood swings and fatigue in OC users compared with the placebo 78 group (Gingnell et al., 2013). Other recent studies have started to tap into the psychological 79 and neural mechanisms responsible for these affective side-effects by investigating which 80 specific cognitive, affective and neural processes are affected by OC usage (Brønnick et al., 81 82 2020; Lewis et al., 2019; Montoya & Bos, 2017).

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

83 One potential mechanism underlying affective symptoms might be altered approach and avoidance behavior. Approach/avoidance behavior refers to the automatic tendency to 84 85 approach positive stimuli and avoid negative stimuli, which can be measured using the Approach Avoidance task (AAT) (Chen & Bargh, 1999). In one commonly used variant of the 86 87 AAT (Kaldewaij et al., 2017), participants are asked to pull pictures of happy faces towards them and push negative faces away as fast as possible using a joystick. Response times in 88 89 this congruent condition are compared with those of the reverse, incongruent condition (pull 90 angry faces and push happy faces away) (Beyer et al., 2017). Typically, participants are faster 91 in the congruent compared to the incongruent condition, referred to as congruency effect or 92 AAT bias. Previous research with the AAT reported that people with social anxiety show faster 93 avoidance (Roelofs et al., 2010), whereas psychopathic patients showed reduced avoidance 94 to angry faces (von Borries et al., 2012), speaking for the validity of the AAT.

95 A neurobiological model of the AAT (Volman et al., 2013) assumed that faces are first processed in the fusiform face area (FFA) and subsequently projected to the amygdala, which 96 97 has a bidirectional connection to the anterior prefrontal cortex (aPFC). When automatic affective behavior needs to be controlled in the incongruent condition, amygdala activity is 98 99 believed to be inhibited by the aPFC (Volman et al., 2013). Oral contraceptive use has previously been found to be associated with changes in reactivity and activation of the PFC 100 101 and amygdala. OC women responded to negative emotional stimuli with reduced amygdala reactivity and increased prefrontal activation (Petersen & Cahill, 2015; Sharma et al., 2020). 102 Furthermore, estradiol and progesterone receptors are expressed in the amygdala, whereby 103 their density may be influenced by oral contraceptives according to results from animal studies 104 (Brown, 2020; Österlund et al., 1998). Other steroid hormones whose receptors are also 105 located on the amygdala such as cortisol (Morimoto et al., 1996) and testosterone (Simerly et 106 al., 1990) are known to modulate AAT effects (Radke et al., 2015; Roelofs et al., 2005). 107 Moreover, Li and colleagues reported that approach and avoidance behavior was dependent 108 on menstrual cycle phase and estrogen and progesterone levels (Li et al., 2022). These data 109 110 suggest that OC women might differ from NC women in their behavior in the AAT. Since earlier

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

studies have found differences mainly for negatively arousing stimuli (Petersen & Cahill, 2015;

112 Sharma et al., 2020), we expect group differences especially for angry faces.

In addition to the contraceptive effect OC have on the HPG-axis (hypothalamic-113 pituitary-gonadal axis), they also affect the HPA stress axis (hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal 114 115 axis) (Hertel et al., 2017; Meulenberg & Hofman, 1990). While plasma cortisol is elevated during OC intake, the stress-induced increase in free cortisol is reduced in OC users 116 (Kirschbaum et al., 1999). Although these changes in the HPA axis activity in OC users are 117 118 well documented, their behavioral implications are less clear. Given previous evidence that a 119 high cortisol increase due to social stress is associated with a reduced AAT congruency effect 120 (Roelofs et al., 2005), OC might also influence approach/avoidance behavior through this 121 pathway. In the current study, we therefore tested whether approach/avoidance behavior in 122 OC users interacts with stress exposure.

123 One challenge in research on OC is the large heterogeneity of preparations taken by women (Tronson & Schuh, 2022). In our study, only women taking combined preparations 124 125 containing an estrogen and a progestin were included, but the preparations nevertheless differed in the included estradiol dose and in the used anti- or androgenic acting progestin. 126 127 The different formulations could have an influence on AAT and stress effects via the different efficacy profiles, as there is for example evidence that women with androgenic OC have a 128 more pronounced cardiovascular stress response (Straneva et al., 2000). Therefore, we 129 performed exploratory analyses on the subgroups. 130

131

132 **2. Methods**

133 2.1 Participants

We tested one hundred thirty women, of whom sixty-five had been using oral contraceptives for at least three months (oral contraceptives, OC group) and 65 had not used any hormonal contraception for at least six months (no hormonal contraception, NC group). The mean age in the OC group was 21.9 years (SD = 2.13) and in the NC group 22.6 years (SD = 2.4). They had all normal or corrected to normal vision (self-report). An analysis with G*Power 3.1.9.6

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

(Faul et al., 2007) suggested that at least 128 participants would be required to find an effect
of medium effect size for group differences in the AAT effect (f = 0.25) with a power of 0.8 and
an alpha error of 0.05. All participants were between 19 and 30 years old, had a BMI between
17.5 and 25 and no neurological, psychiatric, cardiovascular or endocrinological pre-existing
diseases.

144 Of the 130 participants, we had to exclude three for the following reasons: one due to many errors in the AAT (mean error rate: 39.15%) and two due to reaction times in the AAT 145 146 that were more than 3 SD above the mean. Saliva samples from 20 participants could not be 147 used, resulting in a final sample of 106 participants (50=OC, 56=NC) for the cortisol analyses. All of the women in the OC group were using combined oral contraceptives containing both 148 149 estradiol and progestin. The doses of ethinyl estradiol varied between 20 and 30 µg. Three women where using OC with estetrol (14.2 mg) as estradiol and 4 women took OC containing 150 151 estradiol valerate (1-3 mg). The doses of progestin ranged between 100 and 3000 µg. Twelve of the OC women reported affective side effects. The participants were recruited via mailing 152 lists of the University of Lübeck. For the verification of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the 153 potential participants were subsequently called. The person who conducted the calls was not 154 155 involved in the measurements and the investigators were blinded to the group membership of the respective participants. All participants were informed about the study objectives and 156 compensated for their participation with 10 Euros per hour or credit points. All participants 157 gave their written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The Ethics 158 Committee of the University of Lübeck approved this study protocol (22-044). 159

160

161 **2.2 Design and Procedure**

OC women were tested between the first and third day of pill intake, NC women correspondingly on the eighth to tenth day of the menstrual cycle (follicular phase). The timing was chosen because interpersonal variations in cycle length (in the NC group) and associated differences in hormone concentrations are lowest at the beginning of the cycle (Hampson, 2020). Moreover, the low levels of estrogen and progesterone during this period are most

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

167 comparable to the levels of endogenous estradiol and progesterone that result from taking 168 oral contraceptives. Effects can therefore be attributed to the chronic intake of OC and not to 169 acute hormonal variations. All measurements took place in the afternoon between 2 and 7 170 p.m., since during this period salivary cortisol concentrations are relatively consistently low for 171 both women taking oral contraceptives and women with no hormonal contraception 172 (Meulenberg & Hofman, 1990).

173 After participants gave their informed consent, they were asked to fill out some 174 computerized questionnaires assessing emotion regulation, personality, and 175 approach/avoidance tendencies (see 2.6 Questionnaires and Demographic Data). In the next 176 step, they provided a saliva sample before performing the AAT on the computer (Figure 1). 177 After another saliva sample, the Socially evaluated cold pressor test (SECPT) (Schwabe & Schächinger, 2018) was performed. After a break of approximately 12 minutes, the AAT was 178 179 performed a second time. After completion of the second AAT, another saliva sample was collected and the participants filled in a questionnaire with demographic data and information 180 181 about the pill. Lastly, participants were debriefed about the research question and were compensated. The testing lasted approximately one hour in total. 182

183

184 2.3 Approach Avoidance Task (AAT)

We used the Approach Avoidance Task (Chen & Bargh, 1999) in the version of Beyer et al. (2017) with images of happy and angry faces from the Radboud Faces database (Langner et al., 2010) as stimuli. Stimuli were presented using Presentation® (Neurobehavioral Systems). In total, images of thirty individuals (15 male, 15 female) are shown during the AAT. There is one picture of each person with an angry and a happy facial expression. For the practice blocks, pictures of nine different people than in the main block are used. All pictures are cut into an oval shape so that hair, neck and ears are not visible.

Participants used the joystick with their dominant hand. Before each stimulus, a small
 black cross appeared on the white screen. As soon as the "shoot" button of the joystick was
 pressed, the cross disappeared and a face appeared in the center of the screen. By pushing

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

the joystick away (avoidance response), the image got smaller step-by-step (seven steps in total). Pulling the joystick towards the body (approach response) made the image larger. Two conditions were run in two different blocks. In the congruent condition, participants were asked to pull happy faces toward them as quickly as possible and to push angry faces away from them. In the incongruent condition, the instruction was reversed. Here, happy faces were to be pushed away from the body and angry ones were to be pulled in. Each block contained thirty happy faces and thirty angry faces, using the same images in both blocks.

Before each block, the participants could familiarize themselves with the task in a practice session. Here, the participants received direct feedback whether they had reacted correctly (green tick for correct reaction and red cross for incorrect reaction). The first exercise run consisted of twenty images. In the second practice run, 28 pictures were used because the instruction that was internalized in the first block was reversed and task-switching effects were to be avoided.

During each trial the reaction time was measured, which is determined as the interval between the appearance of the stimulus and the start of the movement of the joystick.

210

211 **2.4 Socially Evaluated Cold Pressor Test (SECPT)**

The SECPT was performed as suggested by Schwabe and Schächinger (2018). The 212 participants were instructed to hold their dominant hand, including the wrist, in a bucket of ice 213 water (0-2 degrees Celsius) until the experimenter asked them to take the hand out again 214 (they were not told in advance how long they should keep the hand in the ice water). Only if 215 they could no longer stand the cold at all, they were allowed to take their hand out of the water 216 earlier. They were also told that they were videotaped during the experiment so that their facial 217 expressions can be analyzed later. Therefore, they were instructed to look into the camera 218 during the entire experiment and not to speak. The camera was placed about two meters in 219 front of the participants behind a screen facing them. The camera recorded the subject's face 220 221 and transferred it directly to the screen so that the participant could see herself. Next to the 222 camera, the experimenter stood at a distance that allowed the participant to simultaneously

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

223 look into the camera and see the experimenter out of the corner of her eye. The experimenter made sure that the participant did not make a fist and that her hand was in ice water up to the 224 225 wrist. At the same time, she took notes and avoided giving confirming signals such as smiling or nodding. After three minutes, the test ended and the participant was allowed to take her 226 227 hand out of the water. If she took her hand out before that, the experimenter responded by 228 asking the participant to put her hand back in the water. If this was not possible, the participant was asked to remain standing and looking into the camera for the remainder of the three 229 230 minutes.

231

232 2.5 Saliva Sampling

233 Saliva samples were collected at three time points of the measurement. For this purpose, we used Sarstedt cortisol Salivette® with a synthetic fiber roll. To prevent cortisol levels from 234 235 being influenced by factors other than the experimental factors, participants were instructed not to exercise, eat anything, drink coffee, take medications, or smoke for one hour before the 236 appointment. Saliva samples were frozen and stored at -20°C until analysis. After thawing, 237 samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 5 min, which resulted in a clear supernatant of low 238 239 viscosity. Salivary concentrations were measured using commercially available chemiluminescence immunoassay with high sensitivity (Tecan - IBL International, Hamburg, 240 Germany; catalogue number R62111). The intra and interassay coefficients of variance were 241 below 9%. Samples were considered empty if there was no saliva in the tube after 242 centrifugation. 243

244

245 **2.6 Questionnaires and Demographic Data**

We used the Behavioral Inhibition Scale (BIS) and the Behavioral Approach Scale (BAS) designed by Carver and White (1994) to assess tendencies to exhibit avoidance or approach behaviors. We also used the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ) (Gross & John, 2003) and the Big Five Inventory (BFI-10) (Rammstedt & John, 2007) to examine interpersonal differences in emotion regulation and personality structure.

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

Participants also provided information on the name of the OC preparation taken, previous duration of use and age at first use of oral contraceptives, time of daily use, reason for use, and any side effects (OC group). The NC-women where asked if they had used hormonal contraception in the past. If they had taken OC in the past, the duration and the time since the last intake was recorded. The reason for taking the pill and the occurrence of any side effects were asked as well. The weight, height and age of all test participants was recorded.

258



259

260 Figure 1. A Procedure. At first, the participants completed the questionnaires ERQ, BFI-10, and BIS/BAS. Next, 261 they provided a saliva sample and performed the AAT (approach avoidance task). After another saliva sample, 262 they performed the Socially Evaluated Cold Pressor Test (SECPT) and another run of the AAT. 25 minutes after 263 the onset of the SECPT, the participants gave another saliva sample and finally provided demographic information. 264 B Approach Avoidance Task (AAT): Participants were asked to push away angry faces and pull happy faces as 265 fast as possible in the congruent condition and do it the other way round in the incongruent condition. Note that 266 drawings are shown for visualization only. In the experiment, we showed images of happy and angry faces, which 267 were taken from the Radboud Faces database (Langner et al., 2010). C Socially evaluated cold pressor test

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

268 (SECPT). Participants were required to keep their dominant hand in ice water for 3 minutes while being observed
by the experimenter and being recorded on video.
270

271

272 2.7 Data analysis

The main outcome of the AAT were the reaction times (RT) which were defined as the interval 273 between stimulus presentation and movement onset. Following previous work (Beyer et al., 274 275 2017), we excluded trials with response latencies of less than 150 ms or more than 3 SD from the individual participant's own mean. Moreover, we only considered correct trials. Incorrect 276 trials (errors), which also included trials with an initial movement into the wrong direction and 277 a following correction were excluded. We first log-transformed the reaction times (ms) before 278 computing mean response times for each condition (push, pull for angry and happy) and 279 participant. We also considered the error rates for determination of outliers. Participants were 280 excluded if reaction times (n=2) or error rates (n=1) in the AAT were more than 3 SD above 281 the mean across all participants (n = 2). 282

283 We computed RT means, outliers and error rates using MATLAB (MATLAB, 2021). 284 Statistical analyses were conducted in Jamovi (The jamovi project, 2021) and JASP (JASP 285 Team, 2023).

We performed a mixed effects ANOVA that included the factors emotion (happy, 286 287 angry), movement (push, pull), stress (within factors) and contraception (between factor) using log-transformed reaction times. To parse interaction effects, we conducted further ANOVAs 288 to examine group differences between OC and NC women separately for happy and angry 289 faces and before and after stress. To determine the AAT effect, the reaction times of the 290 291 movement away from the body (push) were subtracted from the reaction times of the movement towards the body (pull). A higher (more positive) score here reflects stronger 292 avoidance behavior and a lower (more negative) score reflects approach behavior. The AAT 293 effect was calculated as the difference between the bias score for angry faces and the bias 294 score for happy faces. Accordingly, the higher the bias scores, the larger the effect. The AAT 295 effect was reported only for visualization and to allow for comparability of results with previous 296

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

studies and is not interpreted on its own in this study. We conducted an additional mixed
effects ANOVA with factors stress and contraception to compare the error rates of OC and
NC women before and after stress.

To investigate the change in cortisol levels, we performed another mixed effects ANOVA. Here, the within factor time (t_0 , t_1 , t_2) and the between factor contraception were included. For the comparison of group differences in the questionnaires, we calculated twosided independent samples t-tests.

For further exploratory analyses of subgroups within the OC group, we ran additional mixed effects ANOVAs (with the factors emotion, movement, stress (within factors) and the respective between factors (androgenicity, EE-dose, onset, time of OC intake or contraception). Moreover, we ran a correlation of duration of OC intake and the AAT effect and a correlation of the AAT effect with the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire subscales (across the whole sample).

All results with a p-value less than .05 were considered significant. For significant 310 311 ANOVA results, we used Bonferroni-Holm adjusted p-values for post-hoc tests. In order to make informed statements regarding the evidence, we also provide the Bayes Factor, which 312 313 tells us how much more probable the observations are under the alternative hypothesis compared to the null hypothesis. Our Bayesian analysis was conducted using the default 314 priors in JASP, and we present the findings of Bayesian model averaging (BF_{incl}) (Love et al., 315 2019). To interpret the results, we adhere to established guidelines: BF values exceeding 3 316 indicate moderate support, while values above 10 indicate strong support for the alternative 317 hypothesis. Conversely, BF values below 0.1 strongly support the null hypothesis, and values 318 below 0.33 suggest moderate support (van Doorn et al., 2021). Because Bayesian analyses 319 are time-consuming and computationally expensive for large-sample multifactorial ANOVAs, 320 we report Bayes factors exclusively for specific lower-order effects. 321

322

323 **3. Results**

324 **3.1 Approach Avoidance Behavior**

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

The AAT showed the expected experimental effects, as participants showed avoidance of angry faces and approach toward happy faces. Visual examination of the behavioral data suggested, however, that OC women responded less avoidant overall and even showed a slight tendency to approach angry faces before the SECPT (see Table 1 and Figure 2A&B). Note that the reaction times were log-transformed to increase normality.

Results of the mixed ANOVA showed that, as expected, the responses to the emotions 330 in the congruent and incongruent condition differed in speed (see Figure 2A-D). Overall, it took 331 332 the participants longer to pull angry faces towards them than to push them away, whereas 333 they showed the opposite pattern for happy faces (emotion x movement: F(1,125) = 66.733, p < .001, $\eta^2_p = 0.348$), reflecting the expected AAT effect. In general, the groups did not differ 334 in the expression of this pattern (emotion x movement x contraception: F(1,125) = 2.482, p =335 .118, $\eta_p^2 = 0.019$). However, there was a significant fourfold interaction of stress x emotion x 336 movement x contraception (F(1,125) = 4.345, p = .039, $\eta^2_p = 0.034$). Similar to previous studies 337 338 with the AAT (Radke et al., 2015; Volman et al., 2013), participants were faster in pull than push movements (movement: F(1,125) = 95.735, p < .001, $\eta^2_p = 0.434$). Interestingly, OC 339 women responded overall faster than NC women (contraception: F(1,125) = 6.35, p = .013, 340 $\eta^2_p = 0.048$) (see Figure 2D). Women in the OC group were especially faster than NC women 341 in their pull movements, and less so in their push movements (interaction of movement x 342 contraception: F(1,125) = 6.019, p = .016, $\eta^2_p = 0.046$; pull: t(125) = 2.827, $p_{Holm} = .016$, d =343 0.461; push: t(125) = 2.166, $p_{Holm} = .064$, d = 0.353). 344

To understand the four-way interaction of stress x emotion x movement x contraception, we computed separate mixed effects ANOVAs for the two emotions (happy and angry), since we expected differences especially for angry faces. In response to angry faces, the groups indeed differed in their behavior, reflected in a significant interaction of movement x contraception (F(1,125) = 6.708, p = .011, $\eta^2_p = 0.051$, BF = 3.916). The Bayes factor indicated moderate support for this interaction. While NC women pushed away angry faces faster than they pulled them closer ($p_{Holm} = 0.003$), showing the typical avoidance bias,

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

OC women responded equally fast when pushing and pulling ($p_{Holm} = 0.937$; see Figure 2C). 352 This pattern was not influenced by stress (stress x movement x contraception: F(1,125) =353 1.155, p = .285, $\eta_p^2 = 0.009$, *BF* = 0.305). The groups did not differ in their general behavior 354 to happy faces (movement x contraception: F(1,125) = 0.039, p = .843, $\eta^2_p < 0.001$, BF =355 0.216), however, there was a threefold interaction of stress x movement x contraception for 356 happy faces (*F*(1,125) = 6.596, p = .011, $\eta^2_p = 0.050$, *BF* = 3.073). To understand this 357 interaction, we computed separate mixed effects ANOVAs for the two groups (NC and OC). 358 While stress had no influence on approach behavior to happy faces in NC women (stress x 359 movement: F(1,62) = 1.56, p = .216, $\eta^2_p = 0.025$, BF = 1.419), OC women differed in their 360 reactions towards happy faces before and after stress (stress x movement: F(1,63) = 5.68, p 361 = .02, η^2_p = 0.083, *BF* = 9.59). This was due to more pronounced approach behavior after 362 stress than before stress (movement after stress: F(1,63) = 87.2, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.580$, BF =363 6069.494; movement before stress: F(1,63) = 27.1, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.301$, $BF = 2.379 \times 10^{10}$) 364 (see Figure 2A&B). Note however, that OC women showed a clear approach bias to happy 365 faces both before and after stress. 366

Regarding error rates in the AAT, there was no group difference before or after stress (mixed effects ANOVA with factors stress and contraception; contraception: F(1,125) = 1.05, p = 0.308, $\eta^2_p = 0.008$, BF = 0.257) (Figure 2E).

370

371 3.2 Stress effects on cortisol level

The stress manipulation generally succeeded, as cortisol levels were higher on average after the SECPT than before. About 13% of the participants discontinued the SECPT early, which is comparable to the data presented by Schwabe and Schächinger (2018). Since those participants in previous studies did not differ in their cortisol response from those who persisted for the three minutes in the stress situation (Schwabe & Schächinger, 2018), they were not excluded from further analyses.

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

378 Cortisol values were log-transformed to obtain a normal distribution. Additionally, we performed a Greenhouse Geisser correction due to the violation of the assumption of 379 sphericity. Cortisol levels differed significantly at the three measurement time points (two 380 before SECPT and one after) (time: F(2,214) = 41.433, p < .001, $\eta^2_p = 0.279$, $BF = 1.076 \times 10^{13}$). 381 As expected, we observed a strong increase in cortisol after the stress manipulation (t_2) 382 compared to directly before (t_1) (t(107) = -8.452, $p_{Holm} < .001$, d = -0.647, BF = 3.983×10^8) and 383 compared to the first measurement (t₀) (t(107) = -7.154, $p_{Holm} < .001$, d = -0.548, BF = 384 256993.046). However, there was no significant difference between the NC and OC women 385 in cortisol levels (contraception: F(1,107) = 0.201, p = .655, $\eta_p^2 = 0.002$, BF = 0.231) and no 386 significant interaction of time and contraception (F(2,214) = 0.547, p = .580, $\eta^2_p = 0.005$, BF =387 0.108) (see Figure 2F). 388

389

390



Figure 2. (A/B) Approach Avoidance Task (AAT) - Bias Scores (log-transformed) for angry and happy faces for
 women with no hormonal contraception (NC; red) and women taking oral contraceptives (OC; blue) before stress
 induction through Socially Evaluated Cold Pressor Test (SECPT) (A) and after stress exposure (B). (C) AAT – Bias

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

Scores (log-transformed) for angry faces across both AAT-runs for NC (red) and OC (blue) women. **(D)** AAT -Reaction times (log-transformed) for each emotion (happy or angry), movement (push or pull) and mean reaction times over all trials before and after stress for NC and OC women. **(E)** Error rates of runs of the AAT for each participant in percent before and after stress. **(F)** Cortisol levels (log-transformed) at three measuring points for NC and OC women. Error bars indicate standard error, asterisks indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

401

400

402 **3.3 Exploratory Analyses**

403 Composition of preparations. OC preparations differed in composition with regard to the type of progestin and the dose of ethinyl estradiol (EE) they contain. The partial effects of the 404 progestin can be distinguished into androgenic and anti-androgenic effects. To compare OC 405 women with androgenic (N=27, mean(SD) progestin dose: 122(100)) and anti-androgenic 406 407 (N=37, mean(SD) progestin dose: 2108 (315)) preparations, we conducted a mixed effects ANOVA (within factor: stress, emotion, movement; between factor: androgenicity). The results 408 409 showed a significant four-way interaction of stress x emotion x movement x androgenicity $(F(1,62) = 6.380, p = .014, \eta_p^2 = 0.093)$. Separate ANOVAs for the emotions happy and angry 410 showed that only for happy faces there was a significant three-way interaction of movement x 411 stress x and rogenicity (F(1,26) = 6.502, p = .013, $\eta_p^2 = 0.095$, BF = 1.056). Using further 412 separate ANOVAs for androgenic and anti-androgenic groups with factors stress and 413 movement, we observed that only for women taking androgenic acting OC there was a 414 significant interaction of stress and movement (F(1,26) = 19.162, p < .001, $\eta^2_p = 0.001$, $\eta^2_p = 0$ 415 416 269.14). Post hoc tests showed that women taking androgenic acting OC had a stronger 417 approach bias for happy faces after stress than before (before stress: $(t(26) = 2.726, p_{Holm} =$ 0.022, d = 0.371, BF = 2.501); after stress: (t(26) = 6.199, $p_{Holm} < 0.001$, d = 0.843, BF = 0.001418 96212.845)). This suggests that the stress effect on the approach bias in OC reported above 419 was due in particular to the behavior in the group of women taking androgenic acting OCs. 420 The overall response times, however, did not differ between the androgenic and anti-421 androgenic groups (F(1,62) = 1.126, p = .293, $\eta^2_p = 0.018$, BF = 0.179) (Figure 3A&B). 422

423 Most OC preparations used in our sample contained 30µg (EE30) (N=41, one 424 excluded in analysis) or 20µg (EE20) (N=17) of ethinyl estradiol. Note that the ethinyl estradiol

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

425 dosage was not independent of the progestin type: all EE20 preparations were combined with an androgenic acting progestin. The EE30 preparations were combined with either androgenic 426 (N=10) and anti-androgenic (N=30) acting progestins. When conducting a mixed effects 427 ANOVA (within factors: stress, emotion, movement; between factor: EE-dose), we again found 428 429 a fourfold interaction of stress x emotion x movement x EE-dose (F(1,55) = 4.267, p = .044, η^2_p = 0.072). Again, this reflected a stronger approach bias to happy faces after stress in 430 women with a low EE dosage (and androgenic preparation) (interaction of stress and 431 movement: F(1,16) = 14.52, p = .002, $n_p^2 = 0.476$, BF = 56.229; movement effect before stress: 432 t(16) = 1.0, $p_{Holm} = 0.399$, d = 0.207, BF = 0.347; movement effect after stress: t(16) = 4.213, 433 $p_{Holm} < 0.001, d = 0.871, BF = 262.054$). 434

The women did not differ in their cortisol response as a function of either androgenicity or EE dose (no significant interaction of time and androgenicity/ EE dose: F(2,98) = 0.566, p = 0.596, $\eta_p^2 = 0.011 / F(2,88) = 0.434$, p = 0.649, $\eta_p^2 = 0.01$).

438

Conditions of OC intake. Previous research suggests that certain conditions of OC 439 use, such as age at first use or whether OC were taken before or after the measurement, may 440 be important (Anderl et al., 2022; Gravelsins et al., 2021). In our sample, participants did not 441 442 differ in their approach and avoidance behaviors depending on whether they started taking OC as adolescents (aged younger than 18 years (N=42)) or as adults (aged older than 18 443 years (N=22)) (no interaction of stress x emotion x movement x onset: F(1.62) = 0.997, p =444 .322, $\eta_p^2 = 0.016$), nor did the timing of daily intake (before or after measurement) make a 445 difference (no interaction of stress x emotion x movement x time of OC intake: F(1,62) =446 2.6674, p = .107, $\eta^2_p = 0.041$). We were also interested in whether the duration of intake 447 correlated with the expression of the AAT effects, which was not the case (r = -0.047, p = .710, 448 449 *Fisher's z* = 0.128, *BF* = 0.167).

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

451 Previous OC intake. The work of Pletzer and Kerschbaum (2014) suggested that psychological effects of OC use may not be fully reversible. As some women in the NC group 452 had previously taken oral contraceptives (N=41), we tested this for our data using another 453 mixed effects ANOVA (within factors: stress, emotion, movement; between factor: 454 455 contraception). The factor contraception had three levels: OC, NC - previously taken OC (NCp, N=41) and NC who had never taken OC (NCn; N=22). We found a significant main effect of 456 contraception on response times (F(2,124) = 4.954, p = .009, $\eta^2_p = 0.074$). The Post Hoc 457 analysis shows that only the NCn and OC women differed significantly in their response times 458 in the AAT (t(124) = 3.117, p = .007, d = 0.699), while NCp and OC women did not (t(124) =459 1.402, p = .164, d = 0.255). However, there was no significant interaction of emotion x 460 movement x contraception (F(2,124) = 1.397, p = .251, $\eta^2_p = 0.022$)) (see Figure 3C). 461





463

Figure 3. (A/B) Approach Avoidance Task (AAT) - Bias Scores (log-transformed) for angry and happy faces for women taking oral contraceptives (OC) with androgenic progestin (light green) and those with anti-androgenic progestin (light grey) before stress induction through Socially Evaluated Cold Pressor Test (SECPT) (A) and after stress exposure (B). (C) Bias Scores (log-transformed) for angry and happy faces for women who never took OC (NCn, red), who took OC previously but discontinued (NCp, pink) and OC women (blue) over both AAT-trials. Error bars indicate standard error.

470

471

472 **3.4 Questionnaires**

473 The groups did not differ significantly from each other in their scores in the BIS or BAS, BFI-

474 10, or ERQ scales (see Table 1), suggesting that there were no general personality differences

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.13.566589; this version posted November 14, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license. Thurley et al. Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias 475 between NC women and OC users. The ERQ subscales did not correlate with the AAT bias 476 scores (all p > 0.05). 477 **Table 1.** Descriptive statistics for computerized measures (mean \pm standard error in log(ms)) 478 479 and questionnaire scores (mean ± standard error) and results of independent t-test 480 comparisons for questionnaires. OC (*n*=64) 481 NC (*n*=63) 482 AAT-Effect (pre stress) 0.137 ± 0.024 0.059 ± 0.024 483 Bias Score Angry (pre stress) $0.036 \pm 0.015 -0.015 \pm 0.013$ 484 Bias Score Happy (pre stress) $-0.101 \pm 0.014 -0.074 \pm 0.014$ 485 486 AAT-Effect (post stress) 0.125 ± 0.023 0.119 ± 0.020 487 Bias Score Angry (post stress) 0.041 ± 0.013 0.013 ± 0.012 Bias Score Happy (post stress) -0.084 ± 0.012 -0.106 ± 0.011 488 489 NC (n=65) OC (n=65) t(128) p **BF**₁₀ 490 d 491 BIS 2.09 ± 0.063 2.06 ± 0.055 0.368 .713 0.065 0.199 BAS 1.87 ± 0.039 1.91 ± 0.038 -0.836 .405 0.258 492 -0.147 493 BFI-10 (openness) 3.76 ± 0.125 3.59 ± 0.123 0.965 .336 0.169 0.286 BFI-10 (neuroticism) 3.22 ± 0.110 3.45 ± 0.114 -1.460 .148 0.490 494 -0.255 **BFI-10** (extraversion) 3.62 ± 0.112 3.62 ± 0.118 0.047 .962 495 0.008 0.188 BFI-10 (conscientiousness) 3.89 ± 0.100 3.77 ± 0.094 0.897 .371 0.270 496 0.157 BFI-10 (agreeableness) 3.71 ± 0.109 3.49 ± 0.113 1.371 .173 0.440 497 0.240 ERQ (cognitive reappraisal) 4.91 ± 0.122 4.66 ± 0.107 1.522 .130 498 0.267 0.535 ERQ (expressive suppression) 3.17 ± 0.149 3.24 ± 0.133 -0.347 .729 0.198 499 -0.061 500

501 4. Discussion

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

502 Oral contraceptives have an effect on central nervous levels of hormones, which in turn are known to modulate affective behavior. In the current study, we investigated whether OC usage 503 504 is related to altered approach and avoidance behavior in a between-subject design. The 505 results of this study suggest that women using oral contraceptives (OC) have significantly 506 reduced avoidance tendencies to social threat signals compared to women not using oral 507 contraceptives (NC). OC women were as quick to pull angry faces towards them as they were 508 to push them away and thus showed no avoidance tendencies. Socially evaluated physical 509 stress led to a similar rise in cortisol levels in both groups, but only in the OC group did stress 510 increase approach behavior to positive social signals. It was also noteworthy that women 511 taking OC responded overall faster than the NC women. Additional exploratory analyses 512 suggested that the composition of the preparations may have an influence on the AAT results. Only the OC women with an androgenic preparation showed a significant stress effect on 513 514 approach behavior. The composition of preparations was not related to the decreased avoidance effect to threat signals in OC users, however. In sum, our study clarifies how 515 516 contraceptives can impact affect in women, and points toward promising avenues for future research on the topic. 517

518

519 **4.1 OC- related effects on approach and avoidance behavior**

Group differences in approach and avoidance behavior were particularly evident in the 520 response to angry faces. Here, OC women differed significantly from NC women in their 521 avoidance behavior. Specifically, OC women pulled angry faces significantly faster towards 522 them than NC women did, but were comparably fast at pushing them away. Thus, it appears 523 that OC primarily facilitate approach toward threat signals. However, the reaction to angry 524 faces was not influenced by stress. For happy faces, it was the reverse. Here, there were no 525 general differences between NC and OC women, but OC women responded with stronger 526 approach tendencies to happy faces after stress than before. 527

528 These results expand on findings of Hamstra et al. (2014), who reported that women 529 taking oral contraceptives detected fewer facial expressions of anger than NC women, but did

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

530 not differ in recognizing happy faces. Weaker recognition of angry faces might manifest primarily in a higher error rate in the AAT with respect to angry faces, which is not what we 531 found. However, OC users also here showed behavioral changes in the emotional response 532 to angry faces. This might be related to the previously reported OC-related reduction in 533 534 amygdala activation to negative stimuli (Petersen & Cahill, 2015), but as we did not measure neural activity, this remains speculative. The AAT effects we observed were independent of 535 536 the exact OC preparation. This, and the fact that we did not select for specific OC preparations, 537 makes conclusions regarding the relative role of estradiol and progesterone difficult. Previous 538 research has suggested that endogenous estradiol is associated with increased activity in 539 emotion-regulatory brain areas such as the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex or the anterior 540 cingulate cortex (Chung et al., 2019; Sharma et al., 2021). For progesterone it is known that it impairs emotion processing and recognition (Derntl et al., 2008; Guapo et al., 2009; van 541 542 Wingen et al., 2007). Based on these previous findings, the observed AAT effects might rather be attributed to the OC effects on estradiol levels, but this needs to be investigated in future 543 544 studies.

OC women were also generally faster than NC women. This is similar to results of 545 546 another study, who also reported faster response times in OC users, this time to visual stimuli without any emotional component (Grikšienė & Rukšėnas, 2009). Older studies, however, 547 reported generally increased reaction times in OC users (Garrett & Elder, 1984; Wuttke et al., 548 1975). However, it is important to note that other formulations of oral contraceptives were used 549 at the time the studies were conducted. In a more recent study presenting emotional stimuli, 550 women taking OC were also faster than NC women, but only in response to sad faces and not 551 for happy or angry faces (Hamstra et al., 2014). Moreover there is some evidence that the 552 menstrual cycle phase has an influence on the reaction times in various paradigms (Li et al., 553 2022; Pletzer et al., 2014). This indicates that different hormone levels may have contributed 554 to the observed reaction times differences, but there may be other influencing factors. It 555 remains for future studies to examine how reliable these response time differences are and 556

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

how they relate to previously reported cortical changes such as increased prefrontal activityin OC users (Sharma et al., 2020).

In our study, previous OC usage was not associated with an altered approach 559 avoidance pattern compared to women who had never taken OC. Still, contrary to women 560 561 who never took OC, women who previously had used oral contraceptives did not differ significantly in their reaction times from those using OC currently. Put otherwise, women who 562 563 took OC previously ranged in between women who were taking OC currently and those who had never taken OC. Pletzer and Kerschbaum (2015) suggested that effects of oral 564 565 contraceptives could persist beyond the duration of intake. While they observed neurostructural changes after OC use (relative hippocampal gray matter volume was found to 566 567 be associated positively with the duration of previous OC use), we found an effect on a behavioral level. Although the effect was of medium size, it should be treated with caution 568 569 because of the unequal group sizes and the exploratory nature of the analysis. Nevertheless, the results show that, in future studies, a differentiation between current and previous OC 570 users and nonusers might be useful. 571

572

573 **4.2 OC-related effects in their interaction with stress**

As hypothesized, stress influenced approach/avoidance behavior of OC and NC women in 574 different ways. Only the OC women showed altered AAT behavior after stress compared to 575 before. They reacted after stress with stronger approach tendencies to happy faces and 576 unaltered to angry faces. The increased approach bias resulted primarily from faster pull 577 reactions to happy faces. This could be interpreted as kind a of tend-and-befriend response, 578 characterized by responding to stress by aligning with social groups to ensure security (Taylor 579 et al., 2000). The influence of OC use on tend-and-befriend behavior is not well documented 580 so far. In contrast, one study revealed no significant difference between OC and NC women 581 in prosocial behavior under stress (von Dawans et al., 2019). However, the study did not 582 distinguish between OC women using androgenic and anti-androgenic preparations. In our 583 584 study, we noticed that women taking androgenic OC reacted with heightened approach to

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

585 happy faces after stress. There is some evidence that women using androgenic OC showed increased stress effects on vasoconstriction compared to women using anti-androgenic OC 586 587 (Straneva et al., 2000), but there is no previous data on cognitive and affective changes after stress. The tend-and-befriend hypothesis states that the affiliating behavior after stress is 588 589 mediated by oxytocin, which is also supported by oxytocin intervention studies (Cardoso et 590 al., 2013), and moderated by sex hormones (Taylor et al., 2000). Oxytocin was also found to 591 influence the approach avoidance behavior by normalizing the reaction tendencies towards 592 angry faces in a sample that showed no avoidance bias (Schneider et al., 2020). Similarly, 593 oxytocin might as well have influenced AAT scores after stress exposure in women using androgenic OC. However, since this was not our main question and we did not measure 594 595 oxytocin levels, further research would be needed to explore the possible interaction of androgenicity, stress and oxytocin. Remarkably, neither NC and OC women nor women taking 596 597 androgenic and antiandrogenic OC differed significantly in their cortisol stress response. However, since cortisol is only one of many stress markers, the groups might still have differed 598 599 in other stress responses.

Overall, it is conceivable that altered approach and avoidance behavior with OC use could be linked to the development of affective side effects. However, most OC women in our study reported no affective side effects. AAT effects were also found to be unrelated to selfreported emotion regulation in our sample. It could be that these relatively subtle behavioral changes in OC users only result in affective side effects in women with higher vulnerability or predisposition for depressive symptoms in the first place. Studies measuring pre-existing hormonal levels as well as potential genetic markers are therefore warranted.

607

608 **4.4 Limitations and Future Directions**

Like many other studies investigating the influence of oral contraceptives on the female organism, our study is also limited by the "survivor effect" (Kutner & Brown, 1972). This means that our study only comprised women who did not stop taking OC because of serious side effects, whereas those who did develop side effects abandoned the treatment early. Future

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

studies should implement a longitudinal, within-subject design to avoid pre-selection of participants. The cross-sectional study design also presents the challenge that the effects found are not uniquely attributable to OC use but may be influenced by other factors which caused women to decide for or against OC. Nevertheless, the lack of differences in personality and emotion regulation between OC and NC women speaks against this possibility.

618 In our AAT paradigm, we only presented faces with positive and negative valence. It 619 would be beneficial to add a neutral condition in future studies, which would allow investigating 620 whether the shortened reaction times of OC women are indeed due to the affective 621 component. Repeating the experiment with fMRI measurements could also shed light on the 622 neural patterns associated with these effects. In particular, the amygdala and aPFC could be examined more closely to understand the neural basis of the observed behavioral changes 623 (Kaldewaij et al., 2017). Other stress markers, as well as hormonal measurements, may also 624 625 be relevant to the interpretation of future studies.

In general, the results of this and previous studies show that OC intake can lead to significantly different outcomes in established paradigms such as the AAT. Thus, in future work, OC intake, even if not the focus, should at least be measured and/or considered as an influencing variable. Our results also indicate, once again, that in future study designs, the NC group should be subdivided according to whether OC have been taken previously.

631

632 **4.5 Conclusion**

This work stands in line with a series of previous studies showing that oral contraceptives can 633 influence cognitive and affective processes (Gingnell et al., 2016; Monciunskaite et al., 2019; 634 Petersen & Cahill, 2015; Sharma et al., 2020). Specifically, we showed that OC use is 635 associated with differences in approach and avoidance behaviors. The markedly reduced 636 avoidance of anory faces while taking oral contraceptives indicates that affective action control 637 is altered by the hormonal changes that OC use involves. This has relevance primarily in that 638 altered affective action control may be an underlying cause of the affect-related side effects 639 640 reported by some OC users. Since discontinuation of OC use is often not straightforward when

Thurley et al.

Oral contraceptives reduce avoidance bias

side effects occur, it is important to understand the mechanisms behind this to enablesuccessful coping, as well as to identify those at higher risk.

643

- 644 CRediT author statement:
- **Jasmin Thurley:** Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing Original
- 646 Draft, Visualization Macía Buades Rotger: Methodology, Writing Review and Editing Georg
- 647 **Serfling:** Methodology, Writing Review and Editing **Thessa Howaldt:** Investigation, Writing
- 648 Review and Editing Nicole Reisch: Writing Review and Editing Ulrike Krämer:
- 649 Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Resources, Writing Review and Editing,
- 650 Supervision, Project administration
- 651
- 652 Acknowledgements:
- 653 We thank Carla Bücker, Annika Kühle and Luisa Ackermann for help during data acquisition.
- 654
- 655 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 656 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
- 657

658 **References**

- Anderl, C., Wit, A. E., Giltav, E. J., Oldehinkel, A. J., & Chen, F. S. (2022). Association between 659 660 adolescent oral contraceptive use and future major depressive disorder: a prospective cohort 661 Journal Psychology studv. of Child and Psychiatry, 63(3). 333-341. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13476 662
- Beyer, F., Buades-Rotger, M., Claes, M., & Krämer, U. M. (2017). Hit or Run: Exploring Aggressive and
 Avoidant Reactions to Interpersonal Provocation Using a Novel Fight-or-Escape Paradigm
 (FOE). Front Behav Neurosci, 11, 190. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2017.00190</u>
- Brønnick, M. K., Økland, I., Graugaard, C., & Brønnick, K. K. (2020). The Effects of Hormonal Contraceptives on the Brain: A Systematic Review of Neuroimaging Studies. *Front Psychol*, 11, 2813. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.556577</u>
- Brown, T. (2020). Investigating Chronic Pain in a Work Setting Using Electroencephalography and an
 Approach Avoidance Task [PhD Thesis, Tarleton State University]. Google Scholar.
- 671 Cardoso, C., Ellenbogen, M. A., Serravalle, L., & Linnen, A.-M. (2013). Stress-induced negative mood
 672 moderates the relation between oxytocin administration and trust: evidence for the tend-and 673 befriend response to stress? *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *38*(11), 2800-2804.
 674 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.05.006</u>
- 675 Carver, C. S., & White, T. L. (1994). Behavioral inhibition, behavioral activation, and affective responses
 676 to impending reward and punishment: The BIS/BAS Scales. *Journal of Personality and Social* 677 *Psychology*, 67, 319-333. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.67.2.319

Thurley et al.

- 678 Chen, M., & Bargh, J. A. (1999). Consequences of Automatic Evaluation: Immediate Behavioral Predispositions to Approach or Avoid the Stimulus. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 25(2), 215-224. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167299025002007</u>
- Christin-Maitre, S. (2013). History of oral contraceptive drugs and their use worldwide. Best Practice &
 Research Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 27(1), 3-12.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beem.2012.11.004
- Chung, Y. S., Poppe, A., Novotny, S., Epperson, C. N., Kober, H., Granger, D. A., Blumberg, H. P.,
 Ochsner, K., Gross, J. J., Pearlson, G., & Stevens, M. C. (2019). A preliminary study of
 association between adolescent estradiol level and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex activity during
 emotion regulation. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 109, 104398.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2019.104398
- Derntl, B., Windischberger, C., Robinson, S., Lamplmayr, E., Kryspin-Exner, I., Gur, R. C., Moser, E.,
 & Habel, U. (2008). Facial emotion recognition and amygdala activation are associated with
 menstrual cycle phase. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 33(8), 1031-1040.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.04.014
- Ernst, U., Baumgartner, L., Bauer, U., & Janssen, G. (2002). Improvement of quality of life in women
 using a low-dose desogestrel-containing contraceptive: results of an observational clinical
 evaluation. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care*, 7(4), 238243. https://doi.org/10.1080/ejc.7.4.238.243
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power
 analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(2), 175-191. <u>https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03193146</u>
- Garrett, K. F., & Elder, S. T. (1984). The menstrual cycle from a bio-behavioral approach: A comparison of oral contraceptive and non-contraceptive users. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 1(2), 209-214. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8760(84)90039-4</u>
- Gingnell, M., Bannbers, E., Engman, J., Frick, A., Moby, L., Wikström, J., & Sundström-Poromaa, I.
 (2016). The effect of combined hormonal contraceptives use on brain reactivity during response inhibition. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care*, *21*(2), 150-157.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/13625187.2015.1077381</u>
- Gingnell, M., Engman, J., Frick, A., Moby, L., Wikström, J., Fredrikson, M., & Sundström-Poromaa, I.
 (2013). Oral contraceptive use changes brain activity and mood in women with previous
 negative affect on the pill—A double-blinded, placebo-controlled randomized trial of a
 levonorgestrel-containing combined oral contraceptive. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *38*(7),
 1133-1144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.11.006
- Gravelsins, L., Duncan, K., & Einstein, G. (2021). Do oral contraceptives affect young women's memory? Dopamine-dependent working memory is influenced by COMT genotype, but not time of pill ingestion. *PLoS One*, *16*(6), e0252807. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252807</u>
- Grikšienė, R., & Rukšėnas, O. (2009). Cognitive effects of hormone-based contraception in young
 healthy women. *Biologija*, *55*(3-4).
- Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2003). Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes:
 implications for affect, relationships, and well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *85*(2), 348-362. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.85.2.348</u>
- Guapo, V. G., Graeff, F. G., Zani, A. C., Labate, C. M., dos Reis, R. M., & Del-Ben, C. M. (2009). Effects
 of sex hormonal levels and phases of the menstrual cycle in the processing of emotional faces.
 Psychoneuroendocrinology, 34(7), 1087-1094.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.02.007
- Hampson, E. (2020). A brief guide to the menstrual cycle and oral contraceptive use for researchers in
 behavioral endocrinology. *Hormones and Behavior*, *119*, 104655.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2019.104655
- Hamstra, D. A., De Rover, M., De Rijk, R. H., & Van der Does, W. (2014). Oral contraceptives may alter
 the detection of emotions in facial expressions. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, 24(11),
 1855–1859.
- Hertel, J., König, J., Homuth, G., Van der Auwera, S., Wittfeld, K., Pietzner, M., Kacprowski, T., Pfeiffer,
 L., Kretschmer, A., Waldenberger, M., Kastenmüller, G., Artati, A., Suhre, K., Adamski, J.,
 Langner, S., Völker, U., Völzke, H., Nauck, M., Friedrich, N., & Grabe, H. J. (2017). Evidence
 for Stress-like Alterations in the HPA-Axis in Women Taking Oral Contraceptives. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 14111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13927-7</u>
- Kaldewaij, R., Koch, S. B., Volman, I., Toni, I., & Roelofs, K. (2017). On the Control of Social Approach Avoidance Behavior: Neural and Endocrine Mechanisms. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci*, *30*, 275 <u>293. https://doi.org/10.1007/7854_2016_446</u>

Thurley et al.

- Kirschbaum, C., Kudielka, B. M., Gaab, J., Schommer, N. C., & Hellhammer, D. H. (1999). Impact of Gender, Menstrual Cycle Phase, and Oral Contraceptives on the Activity of the Hypothalamus-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis: *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 61(2), 154-162.
 https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-199903000-00006
- Kutner, S. J., & Brown, W. L. (1972). Types of oral contraceptives, depression, and premenstrual symptoms. *J Nerv Ment Dis*, 155(3), 153-162. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/00005053-197209000-00001</u>
- Langner, O., Dotsch, R., Bijlstra, G., Wigboldus, D. H. J., Hawk, S. T., & van Knippenberg, A. (2010).
 Presentation and validation of the Radboud Faces Database. *Cognition and Emotion*, *24*(8),
 1377-1388. https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930903485076
- Lewis, C. A., Kimmig, A.-C. S., Zsido, R. G., Jank, A., Derntl, B., & Sacher, J. (2019). Effects of Hormonal Contraceptives on Mood: A Focus on Emotion Recognition and Reactivity, Reward Processing, and Stress Response. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 21(11), 115. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-019-1095-z
- Li, D., Zhang, L., & Wang, X. (2022). The Effect of Menstrual Cycle Phases on Approach–Avoidance
 Behaviors in Women: Evidence from Conscious and Unconscious Processes. *Brain Sciences*,
 12(10), 1417. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12101417</u>
- Love, J., Selker, R., Marsman, M., Jamil, T., Dropmann, D., Verhagen, J., Ly, A., Gronau, Q. F., Šmíra,
 M., Epskamp, S., Matzke, D., Wild, A., Knight, P., Rouder, J. N., Morey, R. D., & Wagenmakers,
 E.-J. (2019). JASP: Graphical Statistical Software for Common Statistical Designs. *Journal of*Statistical Software, 88, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v088.i02
- Meulenberg, P. M. M., & Hofman, J. A. (1990). The effect of oral contraceptive use and pregnancy on
 the daily rhythm of cortisol and cortisone. *Clinica Chimica Acta*, 190(3), 211-221.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(90)90175-R
- Monciunskaite, R., Malden, L., Lukstaite, I., Ruksenas, O., & Griksiene, R. (2019). Do oral contraceptives modulate an ERP response to affective pictures? *Biol Psychol*, *148*, 107767.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2019.107767
- Montoya, E. R., & Bos, P. A. (2017). How oral contraceptives impact social-emotional behavior and brain function. *Trends Cogn Sci*, *21*(2), 125–136.
- Mordecai, K. L., Rubin, L. H., Eatough, E., Sundermann, E., Drogos, L., Savarese, A., & Maki, P. M.
 (2017). Cortisol reactivity and emotional memory after psychosocial stress in oral contraceptive
 users. *Journal of neuroscience research*, *95*(1-2), 126–135.
- Morimoto, M., Morita, N., Ozawa, H., Yokoyama, K., & Kawata, M. (1996). Distribution of glucocorticoid
 receptor immunoreactivity and mRNA in the rat brain: an immunohistochemical and in situ
 hybridization study. *Neurosci Res*, 26(3), 235-269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-0102(96)01105-4</u>
- Österlund, M., Kuiper, G. G., Gustafsson, J.-Å., & Hurd, Y. L. (1998). Differential distribution and
 regulation of estrogen receptor-α and-β mRNA within the female rat brain. *Molecular Brain Research*, *54*(1), 175–180.
- Petersen, N., & Cahill, L. (2015). Amygdala reactivity to negative stimuli is influenced by oral
 contraceptive use. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci, 10(9), 1266-1272.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsv010
- Pletzer, B., Kronbichler, M., & Kerschbaum, H. (2015). Differential effects of androgenic and anti androgenic progestins on fusiform and frontal gray matter volume and face recognition
 performance. *Brain Res*, *1596*, 108–115.
- Pletzer, B., Kronbichler, M., Nuerk, H.-C., & Kerschbaum, H. (2014). Hormonal contraceptives
 masculinize brain activation patterns in the absence of behavioral changes in two numerical
 tasks. *Brain Res*, *1543*, 128-142. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2013.11.007</u>
- Radke, S., Volman, I., Mehta, P., van Son, V., Enter, D., Sanfey, A., Toni, I., de Bruijn, E. R., & Roelofs,
 K. (2015). Testosterone biases the amygdala toward social threat approach. *Science advances*, *1*(5), e1400074. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400074
- Rammstedt, B., & John, O. P. (2007). Measuring personality in one minute or less: A 10-item short
 version of the Big Five Inventory in English and German. *Journal of Research in Personality*,
 41, 203-212. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2006.02.001</u>
- Roelofs, K., Elzinga, B. M., & Rotteveel, M. (2005). The effects of stress-induced cortisol responses on
 approach-avoidance behavior. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 30(7), 665-677.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2005.02.008</u>
- Roelofs, K., Putman, P., Schouten, S., Lange, W. G., Volman, I., & Rinck, M. (2010). Gaze direction differentially affects avoidance tendencies to happy and angry faces in socially anxious individuals. *Behav Res Ther*, *48*(4), 290-294. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2009.11.008</u>

Thurley et al.

798	Schneider, I., Boll, S., Volman, I., Roelofs, K., Spohn, A., Herpertz, S. C., & Bertsch, K. (2020). Oxytocin
799	Normalizes Approach–Avoidance Behavior in Women With Borderline Personality Disorder.
800	Frontiers in Psychiatry, 11, 120. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/tpsyt.2020.00120</u>
801	Schwabe, L., & Schächinger, H. (2018). Ten years of research with the Socially Evaluated Cold Pressor
802	Test: Data from the past and guidelines for the future. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , 92, 155–
803	161. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.03.010</u>
804	Sharma, R., Cameron, A., Fang, Z., Ismail, N., & Smith, A. (2021). The regulatory roles of progesterone
805	and estradiol on emotion processing in women. <i>Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci</i> , <i>21</i> (5), 1026-1038.
806	https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-021-00908-7
807	Sharma, R., Smith, S. A., Boukina, N., Dordari, A., Mistry, A., Taylor, B. C., Felix, N., Cameron, A.,
808	Fang, Z., Smith, A., & Ismail, N. (2020). Use of the birth control pill affects stress reactivity and
809	brain structure and function. <i>Hormones and Behavior</i> , 124, 104783.
810	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2020.104783
811	Simerly, R. B., Swanson, L. W., Chang, C., & Muramatsu, M. (1990). Distribution of androgen and
812	estrogen receptor mRNA-containing cells in the rat brain: An in situ hybridization study. Journal
813	of Comparative Neurology, 294(1), 76-95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902940107</u>
814	Straneva, P., Hinderliter, A., Wells, E., Lenahan, H., & Girdler, S. (2000). Smoking, oral contraceptives,
815	and cardiovascular reactivity to stress. Obstetrics & Gynecology, 95(1), 78-83.
816	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0029-7844(99)00497-4
817	Taylor, S. E., Klein, L. C., Lewis, B. P., Gruenewald, T. L., Gurung, R. A., & Updegraff, J. A. (2000).
818	Biobehavioral responses to stress in females: tend-and-befriend, not fight-or-flight. Psychol
819	<i>Rev</i> , <i>107</i> (3), 411-429. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.107.3.411</u>
820	Tronson, N. C., & Schuh, K. M. (2022). Hormonal contraceptives, stress, and the brain: The critical
821	need for animal models. <i>Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology</i> , 67, 101035.
822	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2022.101035
823	van Doorn, J., van den Bergh, D., Böhm, U., Dablander, F., Derks, K., Draws, T., Etz, A., Evans, N. J.,
824	Gronau, Q. F., Haaf, J. M., Hinne, M., Kucharský, Š., Ly, A., Marsman, M., Matzke, D., Gupta,
825	A. R. K. N., Sarafoglou, A., Stefan, A., Voelkel, J. G., & Wagenmakers, EJ. (2021). The JASP
826	guidelines for conducting and reporting a Bayesian analysis. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review,
827	28(3), 813-826. <u>https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-020-01798-5</u>
828	van Wingen, G., van Broekhoven, F., Verkes, R. J., Petersson, K. M., Backstrom, T., Buitelaar, J., &
829	Fernandez, G. (2007). How progesterone impairs memory for biologically salient stimuli in
830	healthy young women. J Neurosci, 27(42), 11416-11423.
831	https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1715-07.2007
832	Volman, I., Verhagen, L., Den Ouden, H. E. M., Fernández, G., Rijpkema, M., Franke, B., Toni, I., &
833	Roelofs, K. (2013). Reduced Serotonin Transporter Availability Decreases Prefrontal Control
834	of the Amygdala. The Journal of Neuroscience, 33(21), 8974-8979.
835	https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5518-12.2013
836	von Borries, L. A. K., Volman, I., de Bruijn, E. R., Bulten, B. H., Verkes, R. J., & Roelofs, K. (2012).
837	Psychopaths lack the automatic avoidance of social threat: relation to instrumental aggression.
838	Psychiatry Res, 200(2-3), 761-766. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2012.06.026
839	von Dawans, B., Ditzen, B., Trueg, A., Fischbacher, U., & Heinrichs, M. (2019). Effects of acute stress
840	on social behavior in women. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology</i> , 99, 137-144.
841	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.08.031
842	Wuttke, W., Arnold, P., Becker, D., Creutzfeldt, O., Langenstein, S., & Tirsch, W. (1975). Circulating
843	hormones, EEG, and performance in psychological tests of women with and without oral
844	contraceptives. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 1(2), 141-152. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-
845	4530(75)90006-2
846	
-	